DEC 16 2008

MODIFIED PTOL-113A (08-03)

Applicant Initiated Int	Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form				
Application No.: 10/078,419 First Named Applicant: Amrish K. LAL					
Examiner: Ajay M. Bhatia Art Unit: 2445 Status of Application: Final OA					
Tentative Participants:					
(1) Sean M. Conner (60,840) (2) Examiner Bhatia					
(3)	(4)				
Proposed Date of Interview: 12/18/08	Proposed Time:	Anytime	<i>:</i>	(AM/PM)	
•	(2) Personal			ference	
(-) = 1					
Exhibit to Be Shown or Demonstrated:					
If yes, provide brief description:					
Issues To Be Discussed					
Issues Claims/	Prior	Disavered	Agreed	Not Agreed	
(Rej., Obj., etc) Fig. #s	Art ith	Discussed	Agreed		
(*)	1111				
(2)				. 🗖	
(3)					
(4)					
Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:					
See attached Interview Agenda					
See attached mention rigories					
An interview was conducted on the above-identified	application on				
NOTE:					
This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview					
(see MPEP § 713.01).					
This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview					
(37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.	110 11 01 01 01				
/Sean M. Conner/					
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature) SUGHRUE MION, PLLC	(Examiner/SPI	E Signature)			
WASHINGTON OFFICE					
23373					
CUSTOMER NUMBER				•	

ering oils

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC 16 2008

Application No. 10/078,419
Attorney Docket No. A8507
FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY, DO NOT ENTER

Agenda for the Telephonic Interview

Introductions

Applicant's representative:

Sean M. Conner, Reg. No. 60,840

(202) 857-2242

USPTO:

Examiner Ajay M. Bhatia (571) 272-3906 (voice) (571) 273-3906 (fax)

It is Applicant's intention that an interview will lead to an agreeable resolution of the rejected claims.

Applicants' representative would appreciate the opportunity to discuss the differences that exist between the subject application, as defined by the attached DRAFT amended claims, and U.S. Patent No. 6,578,078 to Smith. In particular, Smith discloses that an external server will send updated meta-data to the local server having links which point to resources on the external server. Using the meta-data, the local server may then perform a link fixup routine to repair the links located therein (col. 18, lines 18-62).

Smith does not teach or suggest that the <u>remote server</u> generates the indication that the first resource is not located at the first link <u>by referring to a mapping table stored on the remote server</u> to determine that the first resource is not located at the first link, wherein said mapping table stores changes that occur in locations of resources on the remote server, said first resource being among said resources, as recited in the DRAFT amendment of claim 4. Instead, Smith discloses that the <u>local server</u> uses meta-data to repairs the link which point to resources on an eternal server.

For reasons similar to those discussed above, Smith does not teach or suggest detecting, by the remote server, if the first resource is present within a storage unit at a location indicated by the first location indicator by referring to a mapping table stored on the remote server, wherein said mapping table stores changes that occur in locations of resources on the remote server, said first resource being among said resources; and determining, by the remote server, if the first resource is present at an alternate location if the first resource is not detected in the location indicated by the first location indicator by referring to the mapping table, as recited by the DRAFT amendment of claim 15.

DRAFT claims to be discussed

4. A method of correcting links in a document stored on a local server, comprising:

Application No. 10/078,419
Attorney Docket No. A8507
FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY, DO NOT ENTER

sending a first request <u>from the local server</u> to a link checking service unit <u>of a remote</u>

<u>server</u> to determine whether a first resource <u>in the remote server</u> corresponding to a first link in the document is located at said first link;

receiving a first response to said first request from the remote server, the first response containing an indication that the first resource is not located at the first link, wherein the remote server generates the indication by referring to a mapping table stored on the remote server to determine that the first resource is not located at the first link, wherein said mapping table stores changes that occur in locations of resources on the remote server, said first resource being among said resources;

automatically changing the document in response to the receiving of the first response, based on the indication, wherein said changing of the document comprises automatically replacing the first link or automatically deleting the first link; and

automatically sending a second request <u>from the local server</u> to the link checking service unit <u>of the remote server</u> to determine whether a second resource <u>in the remote server</u> corresponding to a second link in the document is located at the second link after the changing of the document.

15. A method for determining a status of links in a document stored on a local server, comprising:

Application No. 10/078,419 Attorney Docket No. A8507

FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY, DO NOT ENTER

receiving a first request from the local server to determine whether a first resource of a remote server is located at a first link in the document, wherein the first link includes a first location indicator of the first resource;

detecting, by the remote server, if the first resource is present within a storage unit at a location indicated by the first location indicator by referring to a mapping table stored on the remote server, wherein said mapping table stores changes that occur in locations of resources on the remote server, said first resource being among said resources;

determining, by the remote server, if the first resource is present at an alternate location if the first resource is not detected in the location indicated by the first location indicator by referring to the mapping table;

in response to the first request, returning, by the remote server, an alternate location identifier indicating the alternate location of the first resource if the first resource is determined to be present at the alternate location, wherein the document is automatically changed in response to the returning of the alternate location identifier by automatically replacing the first link with another link comprising the alternate location identifier; and

receiving a second request which is automatically sent from the local server after the document is automatically changed, to determine whether a second resource of the remote server is located at a second link in the document, wherein the second link includes a location indicator of the second resource.